

Available online at www.macvetrev.mk

Original Scientific Article

SEROPREVALENCE OF EHRLICHIA CANIS INFECTION IN STRAY DOGS FROM SERBIA

Nataša Bogićević¹, Milica Elezović Radovanović¹, Ana Vasić¹, Marija Manić², Jovan Marić¹, Dragica Vojinović³, Dragan Rogožarski⁴, Ana Gligić⁵, Miroslav Valčić¹

¹Department of Infectious Diseases of Animals and Bees, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine University of Belgrade, Bulevar Oslobođenja 18, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia ²Department of Clinical Microbiology and Parasitology, Specialized Veterinary Institute "Niš", Milke Protić 66, 18106 Niš, Serbia ³Department of Immunology, Scientific Veterinary Institute of Serbia, Vojvode Toze 14, Belgrade, Serbia ⁴Department for Animal Health Care, Specialized Veterinary Institute – Požarevac Dunavska 89, 12000 Požarevac, Serbia ⁵Institute of Virology, Vaccines and Sera "Torlak", Vojvode Stepe 458, 11152 Belgrade, Serbia

Received 8 July 2016; Received in revised form 22 September 2016; Accepted 31 October 2016

ABSTRACT

Canine Monocytic Ehrlichiosis is a zoonotic bacterial disease with worldwide distribution. With regards to the population of stray dogs, the disease is facilitated due to their lifestyle and the lack of anti-parasitic protection. The aim of this study was to provide serological data on the presence of a specific Ehrlichia canis IgG antibodies in stray dogs, originating from 7 municipalities in Serbia. During the period from April 2013 to June 2014, 217 canine sera were submitted to the laboratory of the Department of Infectious Diseases of Animals and Bees, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Belgrade. An immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT) was performed to detect antibodies to Ehrlichia canis (cut off, 1:50). Seropositive dogs were found in 5 out of 7 counties with a seroprevalence varying from 3.57% to 20% and an overall seroprevalence of 11.06% (24/217). There was no statistically significant difference between the prevalence of infection and the host age or gender. Results showed that stray dogs contribute to maintaining and spreading of Ehrlichia canis in Serbia. Due to the close relationship between people and dogs, it is of great importance to constantly monitor and improve prevention of this disease.

Key words: canine monocytic ehrlichiosis, Ehrlichia canis, dogs, immunofluorescence antibody test, Serbia

INTRODUCTION

Ehrlichia spp. is an obligate intracellular bacteria with tropism for hematopoietic cells which are transmitted by ticks (1). A number of different species of Ehrlichia can infect dogs including some which usually induce clinical disease and some

Corresponding author: Dr. Nataša Bogićević, PhD E-mail address: natalybogicevic@gmail.com Present address: Department of Infectious Diseases of Animals and Bees, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Belgrade, Bul. Oslobodjenja 18, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia *Phone:* +381 11 268 5080

Copyright: © 2016 Bogićević N. This is an open-access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Available Online First: 1 December 2016 Published on: 15 March 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/macvetrev-2016-0096 which cause mild or no symptoms in dogs, but may cause disease in humans (2). Worldwide, Ehrlichia canis is the most important species of Ehrlichia in dogs. E. canis causes Canine Monocytic Ehrlichiosis (CME) and it is transmitted by the brown dog tick Rhipicephalus sanguineus (3).

CME is characterized by three clinical forms: acute, subclinical and chronic. The acute one is accompanied by fever, anorexia, lymphadenomegaly, epistaxis and poetechie (4). In the subclinical form, dogs appear healthy despite thrombocytopenia and have the potential to remain persistent carriers (5). This phase may last for years and some dogs will spontaneously eliminate the pathogen, while others will develop chronic form when bone marrow hypoplasia leads to pancytopenia resulting with bad prognosis for the outcome of the infection (6).

Related to its vector R. sanguineus, CME has a wide distribution in the world, particularly in tropical, subtropical and Mediterranean areas and it is considered enzootic in Southern Europe (7). During the last few years, serologic and/or molecular evidence of E. canis has been reported in the neighboring countries of Serbia including Hungary (8), Romania (9) and Bulgaria (10). Epidemiological data, focused on the seroprevalence of CME among companion and hunting dogs in the northern part of Serbia, have been recently published (11, 12). However, there is no epidemiological data regarding the prevalence of *E. canis* in stray dogs in Serbia. Among stray dogs, this infection is facilitated due to their way of life and lack of anti-parasitic protection. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the exposure of stray dogs to Ehrlichia canis infection and determine its prevalence using immunofluorescence antibody (IFA) test.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Blood samples of 217 stray dogs (112 females and 105 males) originating from the territory of 7 municipalities, located in 6 different regions in the Republic of Serbia, were sent to the laboratory of the Department of Infectious Diseases of Animals and Bees, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Belgrade, during a 1-year period (from April 2013 to June 2014). All samples were taken from dogs that were processed in the municipality shelters. There was no evidence about their health status and possible tick infestation. Due to the lack of history data on the exact age of the examined dogs, approximate age was determined based on insight into the physical condition and teeth examination, at the same time as blood sampling. Upon arrival at

the laboratory, each blood sample was centrifuged, the serum collected, marked and stored at -20°C until analysis. The relevant data for each sample was recorded (place of dog origin, along with gender and approximate age).

For detection and semi-quantitation of IgG class canine antibodies to E. canis, the Ehrlichia canis IFA Canine IgG Antibody Kit (Fuller Laboratories, Fullerton, California, USA) was used according to the instructions of the producer. All untested sera were prepared as 1:50 screening dilutions in PBS. Ten μL of each dilution was applied on 12-well masked slides containing Ehrlichia canis-infected canine DH82 cells. The slides were placed and incubated in a humid chamber for 30 minutes at 37±0.5°C and then washed 3 times with a gentle stream of PBS from a washbottle. To each slide well, 1 drop (10-15 μL) of anti-canine IgG Conjugate was added and all slides have been returned to the humid chamber for another 30 minutes of incubation in the dark place. Finally, the slides were washed again for 3x, air dried and examined under a fluorescent microscope.

Biostatistical analysis was performed by the statistical SPSS package, version 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square (x²) test was used for the comparison of prevalence rates among studied age categories and corresponding rates between gender. Differences were considered significant when P< 0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 24 (11.06%) out of 217 examined dogs had a positive IFAT titer of 1:50 or higher to *E. canis*. Seropositive dogs were found in 5 out of 7 investigated municipalities with a seroprevalence varying from 3.57% to 20% (Table 1).

Table 1. Num	her of exar	mined and ser	ropositive dogs	according to their	origin, age and sex
Table 1. I tall	oci oi chui	illinea ana se	ropositive dogs,	according to then	origin, age and sex

City	Total examined	Age			Sex		No. of	Seroprevalence, %
		≤ 1,5	2-3	≥3	M	F	positive dogs	(±CI) *
Beograd	46	7	24	15	17	29	6	13.04 (±3.63)
Loznica	58	11	46	1	34	24	8	13.79 (±3.42)
Vršac	40	26	11	3	19	21	8	20 (±6.04)
Novi Pazar	10	2	4	4	6	4	-	- (0-27.7)
Požarevac	28	5	13	10	13	15	1	3.57 (±1.12)
Bujanovac	19	6	13	-	11	8	-	- (0-16.83)
Ub	16	3	9	4	5	11	1	6.25 (±2.81)
Total	217	60	120	37	105	112	24	11.06 (±1.4)

^{*95%} Confidence interval (CI)

The highest prevalence was noticed in Vršac (20%), whereas the lowest in Požarevac (3.57%). Spatial distribution of municipalities where sampling was carried out is presented on the map (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. The map of Serbia shows cities where the specimens were collected

According to the sex, there was slight difference between female (13/24, 54.2%) and male (11/24, 45.8%) seropositive dogs. According to age, out of all seropositive animals, 10 (41.7%) were younger than 1.5 year (puppies and young adults), 10 (41.7%) were 2-3 years old (adults) and 4 (16.6%) were older than 3 years (elder dogs). Comparison of seroprevalence rates between sexes and age categories, showed no significant differences (P=0.057 and P=0.56, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the overall seroprevalence of *Ehrlichia canis* in Serbia was 11.06%, with a range from 0 to 20% depending to the region. The prevalence of *E. canis* is largely related to some epidemiological factors such as geographical distribution and density of the vector *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, animal behavior and the average age of study population (13). *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*

ticks are mostly present in tropic and subtropical regions, but there is evidence for their existence in the Mediterranean countries in Europe, for instance in Spain, Portugal, France, Italy, Turkey (7), Balkan region (14) and Serbia, as well (15).

Serological or molecular evidence of E. canis infection has been reported worldwide - in regions of the United States (16), in South America countries Venezuela, Colombia, Chile, Peru, Brazil, Mexico (17); in many countries of Africa and Asia - Tunisia, Egypt, Chad, Zimbabwe, Cameroon, Senegal, Israel, Japan (18) and finally, in the Mediterranean countries of Europe - Spain, Portugal, France, Italy, Turkey (19). Meanwhile, in the neighboring countries of Serbia, extensive seroepidemiological investigations that include large number of apparently healthy pet dogs were performed. The highest prevalence was reported in Bulgaria, 37.5% (10), then in Romania, 2.1% (9) and Hungary, 0.16% (8) where only 2 out of 1305 dogs tested positive.

The first report of *E. canis* in dogs from Serbia was published by Pavlović et al. after detection of morulae in a monocyte on a peripheral blood smear (20). Recently, some serological studies in dogs have been carried out in the northern part of Serbia. Seroprevalence of 16% and 13.79% have been revealed by using IFA test in pet and hunting dogs, respectively (11, 12). Higher prevalence than in our research is probably the result of the sample selection mode. While we have done random selection of dogs, mentioned studies have involved mainly dogs from veterinary ambulances who arrived there because they have had tick(s) attached, or had ticks' infestation history.

Our study included stray dogs which have spent most of their life on the city streets or in rural environment. Due to their way of life and lack of anti-parasitic protection, stray dogs have more frequent parasite infestations than urban dogs and their life span is short, hardly ever longer than 4-5 years (21). The results of this serosurvey did not reveal any correlation between the age of tested dogs and seropositivity rate, meaning that E. canis affects equally puppies and young adults, adults and elder dogs. This finding is in correlation with the report of Tsachev et al. (10), but opposite to the others (22, 23) in which authors concluded that adult dogs older than 3 years are more susceptible canine ehrlichiosis. Possible explanations include the immunologic status of the host or more exposure to the vector tick (13).

Although neither the age nor the gender of tested dogs seems to be related to the infection,

due to outdoor life which encourages tick's attachment, we can assume that some of these dogs were probably suffering from acute CME, subsequently recovered, but remained seropositive at the time of testing, while others would be considered as subclinical carriers (24). The inability to distinguish between current infection and prior exposure is widely recognized as a weakness of IFA tests (2).

The seropositivity in some dogs may be the result of cross-reactivity to other *Ehrlichia* species (25), like *E. equi*, *E. risticii*, *Neorickettsia helminthoeca* and *E. ewingii* (26) which may pose a serious problem in the interpretation of IFA results. However, all of these microorganisms are still not identified in Serbia, and thus we assumed that did not interfere with our results.

Establishing the final diagnosis of the disease can be challenging due to its different forms, variable/multisimptomatic clinical manifestations and diagnostic method(s) used. Despite all the shortcomings mentioned above, the IFA test is considered as a serological "gold standard" diagnostic technique for *E. canis* (27); it is the most commonly used technique to monitor canine ehrlichiosis infections and it is more susceptible than any other test. This study' design predicted implementation of a single test in order to give us trace of infection, after which we planned to do more complex studies that combine serological and molecular methods.

CONCLUSION

Our results clearly indicate that stray dogs are potential carriers of this zoonotic disease and contribute to the spread and maintenance of *Ehrlichia canis* in Serbia. These are the first data on the presence of *E. canis* infection in the population of stray dogs in Serbia. Defining of "hot spots" in some regions would be useful for further studies, because there we could potentially find clinical cases.

As the control of canine ehrlichiosis is not possible only through the control of vectors required for transmission of infection, anti-parasitic protection is still the best method of disease prevention. Since the existence and transmission of this infection may be influenced by many factors, future studies should take a multidisciplinary approach, including veterinarians, physicians, ecologists, climatologists and biomathematicians.

The potential role of dogs, as a source of Human Ehrlichiosis, although probably minor, cannot be conclusively excluded. Health professionals should therefore be aware of this possibility and educate patients about *Ehrlichia* transmission, but without unduly burdening the human—animal bond.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declared that they have no potential conflict of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Serbia, grant TR 37015. Special thanks to Prof. Dr Bosiljka Đuričić for all advices and support.

REFERENCES

 Rikihisa, Y. (1991). The tribe Ehrlichieae and ehrlichial diseases. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 4 (3): 286-308.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.4.3.286 PMid:1889044 PMCid:PMC358200

- Murphy, G.L., Ewing, S.A., Whitworth, L.C., Fox, J.C., Kocan, A.A. (1998). A molecular and serologic survey of Ehrlichia canis, E. chaffeensis, and E. ewingii in dogs and ticks from Oklahoma. Vet Parasitol. 79 (4): 325-339.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(98)00179-4
- Groves, M.G., Dennis, G.L., Amyx, H.L., Huxsoll, D.L. (1975). Transmission of Ehrlichia canis to dogs by ticks (Rhipicephalus sanguineus). Am J Vet Res. 36 (7): 937-940.
 - PMid:1147359
- Neer, T.M., Harrus, S. (2006). Canine monocytotropic ehrlichiosis and neorickettsiosis (E. canis, E. chaffeensis, E. ruminantium, N. sennetsu, and N. risticii infections). In: Greene CE (Ed.), Infectious diseases of the dog and cat. 3rd edition. (pp. 203–216). St. Louis, Missouri: Saunders Elsevier.
- Waner, T., Harrus S., Bark, H., Bogin, E., Avidar, Y., Keysary, A. (1997). Subclinical canine ehrlichiosis (Ehrlichia canis) in experimentally infected beagle dogs. Vet Parasitol. 69 (3-4): 307-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(96)01130-2

- 6. Troy, G.C., Forrester, S.D. (1990). Canine ehrlichiosis. In: Green CE (Ed.), Infectious diseases of the dog and cat. (pp. 404-418). Philadelphia: W.B. Sanders Co.
- Beugnet, F., Marié, J.L. (2009). Emerging arthropodborne diseases of companion animals in Europe. Vet Parasitol. 163 (4): 298-305. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.03.028 PMid:19403239
- Farkas, R., Gyurkovszky, M., Lukács, Z., Aladics, B., Solzmosi, N. (2014). Seroprevalence of some vectorborne infections of dogs in Hungary. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 14 (4): 256-260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2013.1469 PMid:24689833 PMCid:PMC3993034
- Mircean, V., Dumitrache, M., Györke, A., Pantchev, N., Jodies, R., Mihalca, A., Cozma, V. (2012). Seroprevalence and geographic distribution of Dirofilaria immitis and tick-borne infections (Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato, and Ehrlichia canis) in dogs from Romania. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 12 (7): 595-604. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0915
 PMid:22607068
- Tsachev, I., Papadogiannakis, I., Kontos, V., Zarkov, I., Petrov, V., Pelagić, V. (2006). Seroprevalence of Ehrlichia canis infection among privately-owned dogs in northern Bulgaria. JHVMS. 57 (3): 206-216.
- Potkonjak, A., Savić, S., Jurisić, A., Petrović, A., Suvajdzić, Lj., Lako, B., Milošević, N., Novaković, Z. (2013). Seroepidemiological research of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis in the autonomous province of Vojvodina, Serbia. Acta Sci Vet. 41:1106, 1-8.
- Potkonjak, A., Savić, S., Spasojević-Kosić, Lj., Vračar, V., Kudus, R., Suvajdžić, Lj., Radišić, N. (2014). Seroprevalence of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis in hunting dogs in the autonomous province of Vojvodina, Serbia. Arhiv Veterinarske Medicine 7 (1): 11-18.
- Rodriguez-Vivas, R.I., Albornoz, R.E.F., Bolio, G.M.E. (2005). Ehrlichia canis in dogs in Yucatan, Mexico: seroprevalence, prevalence of infection and associated factors. Vet. Parasitol. 127 (1): 75-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.08.022 PMid:15619376
- 14. Christova, I., Van De Pol, J., Yazar, S., Velo, E., Schouls, L. (2003). Identification of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato, Anaplasma and Ehrlichia species, and spotted fever group Rickettsiae in ticks from Southeastern Europe. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 22 (9): 535-542.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-003-0988-1 PMid:12938010

- Milutinović, M., Radulović, Ž. (2002). Ecological notes of ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) in Serbia (Central regions). Acta Vet-Beograd. 52 (1): 49-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/AVB0201049M
- Skotarczak, B. (2003). Canine ehrlichiosis. Ann Agric Environ Med. 10 (2): 137-141.
 PMid:14677903
- Vargas-Hernández, G., André, M.R., Faria, J.L.M., Munhoz, T.D., Hernandez-Rodriguez, M., Machado, R.Z., Tinucci-Costa, M. (2012). Molecular and serological detection of Ehrlichia canis and Babesia vogeli in dogs in Colombia. Vet Parasitol. 186 (3-4): 254-260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.11.011 PMid:22130333
- Ndip, L.M., Ndip, R.N., Esemu, S.N., Dickmu, V.L., Fokam, E.B., Walker, D.H., McBride, J.W. (2005). Ehrlichial infection in Cameroonian canines by Ehrlichia canis and Ehrlichia ewingii. Vet Microbiol. 111 (1-2): 59–66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.08.010 PMid:16181750
- Trotz-Williams, L.A., Trees, A.J. (2003). Systematic review of the distribution of the major vector borne parasitic infections in dogs and cats in Europe, Vet Rec. 152 (4): 97-105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.152.4.97 PMid:12572938
- Pavlović, I., Terzin, V., Petković, D., Ćurčin, Lj., Stanković, B., Terzin, D., Ćurčin, K. (2006). Ehrlichiosis in dogs from the Belgrade territory (in Serbian). Book of Abstracts, 8th Epizootiology Days, April 5-8, (pp. 52-53), Resort Vrdnik, Serbia.
- Carvalho, F.S., Wenceslau, A.A., Carlos, R.S., Albuquerque, G.R. (2008). Epidemiological and molecular study of Ehrlichia canis in dogs in Bahaia, Brazil. J Genet Mol Res. 7 (3): 657-662. http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/vol7-3gmr468
- Baneth, G., Warner, T., Koplah, A., Weinstein, S., Keysary, A. (1996). Survey of Ehrlichia canis antibodies among dogs in Israel. Vet Rec. 138 (11): 257-259. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.138.11.257

PMid:8734508

- 23. Avizeh, R., Mosallanejad, B., Razi Jalali, M.H., Alborzi, A.R. (2010). Seroprevalence of Ehrlichia canis in dogs referred to Veterinary Hospital of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran. Arch Razi Inst. 65 (1): 21-26.
- Keefe, T.J., Holland, C.J., Salyer, P.E., Ristic, M. (1982).
 Distribution of Ehrlichia canis among military dogs in the world and selected civilian dogs in the United States. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 181 (3): 236-238.
 PMid:7050062

- 25. Mylonakis, M., Koutinas, A., Billinis, C., Leontides, L., Kontos, V., Papadopoulos, O., Rallis, T., Futianou, A. (2003). Evaluation of cytology in diagnosis of acute canine monocytic ehrlichiosis (Ehrlichia canis): a comparison between five methods. Vet Microbiol. 91 (2-3): 197-204. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00298-5
- 26. Waner, T., Harrus, S., Jongejan, F., Bark, H., Keysary, A., Cornelissen, A.W. (2001). Significance of serological testing for ehrlichial diseases in dogs with special emphasis on the diagnosis of canine monocytic ehrlichiosis caused by Ehrlichia canis. Vet Parasitol. 95 (1): 1-15.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(00)00407-6

 Ristic, M., Huxsol, D.L., Weisige, R.M., Hildebrandt, P.K., Nyindo, M.B.A. (1972). Serological diagnosis of tropical canine pancytopenia by indirect immunofluorescence. Infect Immun. 6 (3): 226-231. PMid:4118045 PMCid:PMC422520

Please cite this article as: Bogićević N., Elezović Radovanović M., Vasić A., Manić M., Marić J., Vojinović D., Rogožarski D., Gligić A., Valčić M. Seroprevalence of *Ehrlichia canis* infection in stray dogs from Serbia. Mac Vet Rev 2017; 40 (1): 37-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/macvetrev-2016-0096